-20-06 PTO/SB/21 (09-06) Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE e Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number Application Number 09/976,813 Filing Date TRANSMITTAL 12/04/2006 First Named Inventor **FORM** Edward Larue Stull Art Unit 2142 Examiner Name Beatriz Prieto (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petition (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a **Proprietary Information** After Final **Provisional Application** Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter, Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request below): Interview Summary Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Antoir rease, P.L.L.C. Signature Printed name Antonette M. Teaes Date Reg. No. 12/19/2006 53680 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as less than 1450 and sufficient postage asin an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Antoinette M. Tease Typed or printed name

12/19/2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/976,813

Filing Date:

12/04/2006

Applicant:

Edward Larue Stull

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DATA QUALITY

MANAGEMENT AND CONTROL OF HETEROGENEOUS

DATA SOURCES

Examiner/GAU:

Beatriz Prieto/2142

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, District of Columbia 20231

Dear Sir:

This Interview Summary is submitted in response to the October 13, 2006

Interview Summary issued by the examiner and the examiner's request that the applicant also prepare an Interview Summary.

Participating in the interview were the examiner, two of the inventors, and the applicant's outside corporate counsel and former patent counsel. The parties discussed the meaning of the word "portal" as it is used in connection with the present invention and the need to distinguish that meaning from the term "portal" as used in the Bogrett patent and in prior art generally. In that regard, the parties discussed the fact more than one portal can be viewed simultaneously in the present invention and the fact that the portals of the present invention allow one or more data viewers from one portal to be merged into another portal, both of which distinguish the "portal" of the present invention from prior art. Applicant's counsel noted that claims 1, 27 and 44 would be amended to incorporate these limitations.

The examiner suggested emphasizing in the response to the April 16, 2006 Office Action the dynamic and recursive aspects of the present invention, which the applicant has done in its response to the Office Action, and more particularly, in the amendments to claims 19 and 37.

The inventors provided a brief demonstration of the invention that emphasized the unique purpose and method for portal-to-portal mergers, sharable multiple viewers, and the save and rebuilding aspects of the portals of the present invention. The inventors also discussed with the examiner how the command actions of the present invention can invoke both recursive and goal-driven operations involving the portals and viewers. The goal of the demonstration was to show the examiner that the present invention is distinguishable from prior art. In the response to the April 19, 2006 Office Action, the applicant has made amendments to certain claims to emphasize these distinguishable aspects and has provided citations to the specification where support for these statements can be found.

Respectfully submitted,

Antoinette M. Tease

ANTOINETTE M. TEASE, P(L.L.C.

Attorney for Applicant

PO Box 51016

Billings, MT 59105

(406) 245-5254

Registration No. 53860

DEC 19 2006

Certificate of Mailing: I certify that on the date below this document and referenced attachments, if any, were deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: "U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450."

Intoinette M. Tease

December 19, 2006